



## ABSTRACT SUBMISSION FORM

(Please complete a separate form for each submission)

Note: All abstracts **must** be submitted on an official abstract submission form (this document)  
All presenters are expected to register to attend the conference.

| Key author for correspondence:  |  |  |                                     |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| First name  | Michael  | Surname  | Jongeneel                           |
| Organisation  | Flow Transportation Specialists                  |  |                                     |
| Postal address  | PO Box 47497, Ponsonby, Auckland                 |  |                                     |
| E-mail address  | michael@flownz.com                               |  |                                     |
| Phone number  | 09 370 0570                                      | Mobile   | 0274 722 570                        |
| 2nd co-author:  |  |  |                                     |
| First name  |  | Surname  |                                     |
| Organisation  |  |  |                                     |
| 3rd co-author:  |  |  |                                     |
| First name  |  | Surname  |                                     |
| Organisation  |  |  |                                     |
| I acknowledge that I am required to cover my own travel, accommodation and registration fees to attend the conference. Registration details can be viewed on the conference website <a href="http://www.ipenztgconference.co.nz/registration/">http://www.ipenztgconference.co.nz/registration/</a> |  |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Proposed paper format: (Refer the 'Call for Abstracts' document for descriptions)   |  |  |                                     |
| <b>Research paper</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/><br>Note: Peer review is compulsory for research papers  |  | <b>Practice paper</b> <input type="checkbox"/><br>Would you like your paper peer reviewed? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/><br>Note: Only peer reviewed papers will qualify for paper awards |                                     |
| Preferred presentation format:<br>(The programme committee reserves the right to change your assigned format)   |  |  |                                     |
| Oral presentation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | Roundtable presentation <input type="checkbox"/> | Display poster <input type="checkbox"/>  | Don't mind <input type="checkbox"/> |
| I qualify for the young author's award (solo author aged under 30 yr of age as at 1 March 2017)   |  |  | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| I qualify for the student's award (solo author and enrolled full time student as at 1 Jan 2017)   |  |  | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Paper details:  |  |  |                                     |
| Presentation title:   | Evaluating the Auckland Cycle Model              |  |                                     |



**Overview of presentation (300 word maximum)**

*Include details of topic scope, key findings, and any issues for discussion or further investigation*

Traditional transport planning uses transport modelling techniques to forecast future travel demands, and to evaluate users' mode and route choices. These transport modelling techniques are well established, widely accepted and relatively well understood, but have almost exclusively focussed on motorised modes of transport.

New Zealand is investing in cycle infrastructure at a previously unseen rate, and with this investment comes the need for credible cycle demand estimates, to ensure this investment is used in the most effective way. Techniques for cycle demand forecasting are however in their infancy both in New Zealand and internationally.

To meet this need, Flow Transportation Specialists developed the Auckland Cycle Model in 2015 to assess future cycling demands on Auckland's growing cycle network. The result was a cycle demand estimation tool that responds to projected changes in Auckland's land use and to improvements to cycle infrastructure, estimating the quantity of mode shift that might occur following given cycle projects. The model was subsequently used to estimate future cycle demands for a range of cycle projects across Auckland.

But how accurate is the Auckland Cycle Model at predicting cycle demands, and to what extent can we rely on the model's outputs? This paper uses historic 'before' and 'after' cycle count data for a range of recently completed cycle projects, and compares these to retrospective 'forecasts' using the Auckland Cycle Model to assess the model's reliability.

**Email completed abstract form to [glenda@hardingconsultants.co.nz](mailto:glenda@hardingconsultants.co.nz)**

*One abstract per email please*